

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/673,884	10/23/2000	Kiyozo Asada	1422-443P	6983	
2292 7	7590 11/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	WART KOLASCH &	STRZELECKA, TERESA E			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	- ,		1637		
•			DATE MAILED: 11/01/200	DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/673,884	ASADA ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637	,

	Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th	- ·	er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	RST REPLY WAS FILEI	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 October 2005</u> . A bit the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	smissal of the
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		pecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,	jected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16,18,21-23 and 31-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		· · · · · · ·	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperty and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	` '		
13. Other:	PA	IESA STRZELECK/ ITENT EXAMINER	
		alante ass	c/10

1ersa Structución

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants' amendments to claims 16 and 18 require new consideration. Further, Applicants cancelled two claims, 33 and 35, while adding three new claims 36-38.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the finality of the previous office action should be withdrawn because some of the amended claims "could have been rejected before". In response, it is pointed out to Applicants that all of the claims were rejected before Applicants' amendment filed January 25, 2005. Further, Applicants amended and cancelled claims, therefore new grounds for rejections were justified. Finally, Applicants' arguments regarding the previously presented rejections are most since they regard amended claims, and the amendments have not been entered.